

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,228	12/19/2005	Lars Ake Naslund	027651-286	6147
21839 BUCHANAN	7590 05/26/200 INGERSOLL & ROOI	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			FAYYAZ, NASHMIYA SAQIB	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2856	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/26/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/561,228	NASLUND ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nashmiya S. Fayyaz	2856	

c	Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover	r sheet with the correspondence address
	THE REPLY FILED 08 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CO	ONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
	1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an am application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The result is a compliance of the continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	nendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the se) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
	periods: a) \(\overline{\text{N}}\) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection	
	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2 no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONT	<ol><li>the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In THS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li></ol>
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK E MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706,07(f).	.,
	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petitio have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corr under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	responding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CF	ID 41.27 must be filed within two months of the date of
	If the Notice of Appeal was lined off: A United The Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time peri AMENDMENTS	CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
	3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the da	te of filing a brief, will not be entered because
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
	<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appearappear; and/or</li> </ul>	al by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
	(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding null NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	mber of finally rejected claims.
	4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the 112(2) reject	
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s), the <u>Trazz reject</u> Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted non-allowable claim(s).	
	7.  ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be ent how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appearance. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4.6-17 and 20</u> .	
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>5 and 19</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
	8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the because applicant falled to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasor was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
	<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Apperentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not ear</li> </ol>	tions under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
	<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u></li> </ol>	the claims after entry is below or attached.
	11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place Applicant has argued that Watanabe's insulator 121 "does not hold the se	e the application in condition for allowance because: ensor element 2 since a space exists between the
	insulator and sensor element 2" and the surface of the holder 10 facing the surface provided in the housing 11" as found in claims 1 and 20. However	er, such arguments are not found persuasive because in
	Watanabe, the insulator 121 along with packing 120 are "mounted on the "is brought into contact with the lowermost cover 131", see col. 5, lines 15 holding. It is irrelevant that there may be a lack of contact between the se	5-22 which suggest there is some degree of sensor
	along with the cover 131 and packing 120 acts to "hold" the sensor 2 at le movement of the sensor. Note that contact is not required nor claimed to	east to some degree since they do prevent free hold the sensor in place. Further, Watanabe clearly
	indicates that the powder is compressed and that the sensor element is "a which is accomplished by a pressing force being applied, note col.4, lines	
	force transmits through the entire structure and its components.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Pap	or No(s)
	13. Other:	El 140(5)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/Hezron Williams/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2856

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090414